# CLASSIFICATION OFFICE DECISION

**Title of publication:** Too Big For Teens 6

**Other known title(s):** Not stated

**OFLC ref:** 1101134.000

**Medium:** DVD

**Distributor:** Calvista NZ Ltd

**Director:** Bobby Manila

**Producer:** Bobby Manila

**Country of origin:** Canada

**Language:** English

**Applicant:** Film & Video Labelling Body

| | |
|---|---|
| **Classification:** | The unexcised version of this publication is classified as Objectionable.<br><br>The excised version of this publication is classified as Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years. |

**Excisions:** Recommended but not made

**Descriptive note:** None

**Display conditions:** None

**Date of entry in Register:** 10 January 2012

**Date of direction to issue a label:** No direction to issue a label has been issued

| | Components | Running time |
|---|---|---|
| **Menu:** | Play<br>Scenes<br>Extras | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Feature(s):** | Too Big For Teens 6 | 118:13 |
| **Timed component(s):** | Office Perverts Vol. 6<br>The Stepmother 4<br>Lesbian Truth Or Dare 4<br>Stills<br>Notices | 1:00<br>1:00<br>1:00<br>10:00<br>0:40 |
| **Total running time:** | | 131:53 |

**Summary of reasons for decision:**

The publication is a sexually explicit presentation of adult performers engaged in a role-play involving middle-aged men and young women, some of whom present as young persons. The introductory sequences to two scenes involve women who are presented as young persons and who behave in the manner of young teenagers. These sequences imply that young persons are acceptable sexual partners for adult men and legitimate subjects for adult sexual fantasies. They cause the publication to tend to promote and support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes.
In this instance the distributor declined to make the required excisions and therefore this publication is classified as objectionable.

This classification limits the right to freedom of expression set out in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. However, this is an outcome that is consistent with Parliament's intention that publications falling under s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 are deemed to be objectionable. The classification is a reasonable limitation on the right to freedom of expression and reflects the concern of a free and democratic society to protect its young and vulnerable members from sexual exploitation.